2

To: Dr. Charles Short or Dr. John K. McIver
223-231 Old Marylebone Road; FAX:+44(0171)514-3126
EOARD - London, UNITED KINGDOM NW 15TH

From: Professor Dr.Sc. Asparouch PETRAKIEV Petkov,
Bourgas University "prof.A.Zlatarov", Dep. of Physics,
1, Prof.Jakimov str., Bourgas-BG-8010, BULGARIA
FAX number: petrakev@ltu.bg +359 (56) 686 141
Business phones: +359 (56) 280 315 or +359 (56) 280 317

Business phones: +359 (56) 280 315 or +359 (56) 280 317 Home phone: +359 (56) 684 907; FAX: +359 (56) 686 141

Data: May 29, 1997; Time: 16:30

Pages: 4 (Letters with originals follow: 4 pages + 5 Appendix Encl.)

# FINAL REPORT\*

EOARD Contract F61708-96-W0189 (Special Contract Program SPD 96-4039, published in AFOSR Pamphlet 64-1) - Prof. Dr. A. Petrakiev

# <u>Title:</u>PRELIMINARY CALCULATION AND PREPARATION OF INDUCED GAMMA EMISSION EXPERIMENT WITH 119m Sn

Author: A. Petrakiev (!New Address!:

Bourgas University "prof.A.Zlatatov", Department of Physics
1, prof Jakimov. str., Bourgas-BG-8010, BULGARIA

#### I. IntroductIon

Our previous IGE investigations [1-10] are connected mostly with Kamenov and Bonchev's idea [11], that stimulated gamma emission is po-ssible between two excited levels (upper m and lower n with corresponding life-times  $t_m >> 1$  sec and  $t_m << 10^{-6}$  sec). Some isomers like  $^{125m}$  Te,  $^{119m}$  Sn are very suitable for IGE. From our point of view the best candidate for Gamma laser is  $^{119m}$  Sn. Systematically calculations, experiments, comparisons and evaluations are need. Practically  $^{119m}$  Sn is not enough study for IGE, but big number of Mossbauer investigations, using this isotope are reported and published. Some of them are good basis for our evaluations and preparation of IGE experiment [12-14]. In time of developing of this project (1996 - May 1997) some number of lectures and scientific reports connected with IGE, X-ray and Gamma-ray lasers was presented and published from the author and co-authors [15-21].

19970715 204



<sup>\*/</sup> The "Interim Report" from 27/09/1996 is an inseparable part of this Final Report.

## II. Plan of Work-Phase I/2

- 1. Synthesising of different suitable substances of Sn and preparations of samples active elements.
- 2. Preliminary evaluations of recoilless factor  $f_m$  for Moessbouer transition for  $SnO_2$  and  $CaSnO_3$ , if we find out money for substances (sources) and conditions for realising of synthesing technology and experiments\*/.
- 3. Preliminary studding of synthesising technology for different suitable substances of Sn and preparation of active elements for a future irradia-tions in nuclear reactor with termal neutron flux  $\phi > 10^{14}$  cm<sup>-2</sup> sec<sup>-1</sup>
- 4. Direct Mossbouer preliminary evaluation of recoilless factor (for the level 23,8 keV) and for different technology of preparation of samples and active elements.
- 5. Evaluation of differences of recoilless factors at transmission and scattering for different substances.
- III. Results from the Execution of the Plan

  1. For synthesising of different suitable substances of Sn and samples
  preparation two methods have been used: mixing and heating of compounents and second mechanic-chemical synthesis in a mill. A natural Sn
  was used in both cases. Preliminary experiments show that mechanic-chemical method is not sufficiently good for this kind experiments.
  Additional finances are need for continuation of this investigations \*/.
- 2. This item we have executed in part and presented in *Interim report*. Preliminary theoretical calculation of recoilless factor  $f_m$  (Mossbauer) and  $f_s$  (stimulated) for the most suitable substances was made using the equation

$$f_s = \exp \left[ -\frac{E_s^2}{E_m^2} \ln \frac{1}{f_m} \right] \quad \frac{E_s^2}{E_m^2} \approx 9.47$$
(T= const)

3. For preparation of samples and active elements is need Sn containing more than 94~%  $^{119m}Sn$ . It is better to used  $SnO_2$  but not Sn O. Better result can expected with chemical composition  $CaSnO_3$  and  $Mg_2Sn$ . Additional experiments and financial support are need for chemicals and equipment's for finishing of this experiments. The enrichment of excited nuclei of  $^{119m}Sn$  is strongly need at IGE experiments\*/.

<sup>\*/</sup> Because I change my place of of job from Sofia University to Bourgas University (about 400 km far from Sofia) we have not available enough equipment's, chemical compositions and financial support for experiments.

4.Preliminary evaluation of recoilless factor for  $Ca\ SnO_3$  (level 23,8 keV) is  $\sim 0.67 + 005$  at room temperature and  $f_s = 1.4925$ . For the level 65 keV and room temperature  $f_s \sim 0.052$  and at helium temperatures  $f_s \sim 0.1$ . Therefore this composition can be used for stimulated emission experiments, but preliminary enrichment (both - chemical and radiochemical) are need.

5.The recoilless part of the Messbauer radiation for one and the same substances and condition was considered as yet the same in absorption, as well as in scattering. We report and offer a possible explanation of the differences in the possibility of recoilless interaction in scattering and absorption observed by us in scattered geometry, in some cases a specific shape of the recoilless scattered line is observed as well (the source  $BaSn\ O_3$ , the scatterer  $CaSnO_3$  and the absorber  $SnO_2$ ). Such an effect of differences is observed also by Bonchev, Kamenov, Rus-sanov, Angelov and Mandjukov .Two facts were established: a/ the difference in the probability of recoilless interaction is scattering f'' and absorption f'' (f'' > f'); b/ a specific of the recoil line in some cases. A possible explanation of these facts was found.

## IV. Additional Studies and Results

Additional Moessbouer Studies of the composition  $SnTe_3O_8$  was made. The probability for recoilless absorption f' was not determined but the observed high Moessbauer effects show that f' has a high value. Comparative estimates of f' with those of stanates show that its values is  $\sim 0.6$ .

#### V. Conclusions

- 1. Theoretical cross sections for IGE for  $^{119m}Sn$  about 4 times better them  $^{125m}Te$  and linear coefficient for total absorption  $\mu_{-Sn} > \mu_{Te}$  for  $SnO_2$  and RoTo
- 2. Preliminary calculations of recoilless factor  $f_s$  (stimulated) for Sn and comparisons with Sn and the comparisons with Sn and the comparisons for this two isomers is better to used Sn for IGE.
- 3. The cross section for activation with reactors termal neutrons for Sn is  $\sigma_n \sim 0.04$  bn, which is 100 times less than cross section  $\sigma_n$  for Te.
- 4. The determination and preparation of most suitable chemical composi-tion for IGE and Mossbauer experiments with \*\*\* SN IS RELATIVELY MORE EASY, BECAUSE COMPOUNENTS ARE NOT TOXIC IN COMPARISON WITH \*\*BETE\*\*
- 5. AT EQUALLY CONCENTRATIONS OF EXCITED NUCLEI  $K_o$  PER CUBIC SANTIMETERS THE PROBABILITY FOR REGISRTATION OF A DOUBLE-ENERGY & TRIPLE-ENERGY PEAKS IS BIGGEST FOR  $S_N$  THAN FOR  $T_e$ , DU TO BIGGEST CROSS FOR IGE AND BECAUSE RECOILLESS ENERGY FOR  $S_N$  IS LESS THAN RECOILLESS ENERGY FOR  $T_e$ , ESPECIALLY FOR  $S_NO_2$  AND  $T_e$  AND  $T_e$  SPECIALLY FOR  $T_e$  SPECIAL S
- 6. Now is time for IGE experiment on nuclear reactor with termar neutron flux Φ>10<sup>14</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup> sec<sup>-1</sup> (ILL-Grenoble-France or Oak Ridge USA)

#### REFERENCES

[1].P.S.Kamenov, A.Petrakiev, Proc. of Intern. Analytical Sciences Conference, Tokyo, Aug., 26-31, 1991, Vol. 7, pp. 1138 - 1141.

[2]. P.Kamenov and A.Petrakiev, Bulg. Journal of Physics, 19, 1/2, (1992), pp 31-41.

[3] P.Kamenov, A. Petrakiev and K.P.Kamenov, Compt., Rend. Acad. Sci. 445,9,(1992),41.

[4] P.Kamenov, A.Petrakiev and K.Kamenov, Intern. Journal Laser Physics, 5, No 2, (1995), pp 314 - 317.

[5] P.Kamenov, A.Petrakiev and A.Apostolov, Nucl.Instr.& Methods (A), 353, (1994), pp 623-626.

[6] P.Kamenov, A. Petrakiev and A. Apostolov, Laser Physics, 5, (1995), pp 307 - 313.

[7] P.S. Kamenov and A. Petrakiev, Compt. Rend.Acad. Bulg. Sci., 46, No 9, (1993), pp.37-39.

[8] P. Kamenov and A. Petrakiev, Proc. of 1-st General Conferences of the Balkan Physical Union (Sept.26-28,1991, Thesoloniki, GREECE), Vol. II, pp. 1174 - 1176

[9] P.S. Kamenov, I,D.Christoskov, A.Petrakiev and K.P.Kamenov, Laser Physics, 5, No 2 (1995),

[10] P.Kamenov, A.Petrakieev and K.Kamenov, Nucl. Instr. & Methods, A, 353, (1994).

[11] P.Kamenov, T.Bonchev, Compt.Rend.Acad.Bulg.Sci., 18, 12, (1965), 1103

[12]V.Angelov, T.Bonchev, V. Rousanov, I. Mandjoukov, Phys. Stat. Sol. (b) 146,269 (1988)

[13]V.Rusanov, Chr.Chakurov, Journ. of Solid State Chemistry, 79, 181-188, (1989).

[14] V. Angelov, V. Rusanov, Ts.Bonchev, Prc. of XXVI CSI, Vol. VIII, Sofia, Sept. 1989.

[15] P.Kamenov and A.Petrakiev, Possibilities for Gamma-ray Stimulated Emission Experiments, Hyperfine Interactions, 1997 (Baltzer Science Publishers, The Niderlands)

[16] A.Petrakiev, Recent Progress in Developing of the Ideas for Construction of Gamma-

-ray Lasers & Proposal for joint IGE researches, using ILL Nuclear Reactor, Lecture presented on Special Colloquium in International Neutron Research Centre, Institute von Laue-Langevin, GRENOBLE, FRANCE, May, 1996

[17] A. Petrakiev and P.S.Kamenov, Preliminary Calculation and Preparation of Induced Gamma Emission Experiment With 119mSn, IX-th International School on Quantum Electronics "LASERS-PHYSICS AND APPLICATIONS, 16-20 Seept., 1996, VARNA, BULGARIA, p. 76

[18].P.S.Kamenov and A. Petrakiev. Allowed and Forbidden transitions and  $\gamma$  - Lasers, 28-th EGAS Conference, 16-19 July, 1996, Graz, AUSTRIA, pp. 477-479.

[19]. P.Kamenov and A. Petrakiev, x-ray and Gamma-Ray Lasers - Fundamental and Experimental Investigations and Applications, XXIX-th Colloquim Spectroscopicum Internationale, Aug. 27-Sept. 1, 1996, Leipzig, GERMANY.,p.102.

[20]. A.Petrakiev and P.S. Kamenov, Gamma Lasers - Possible Military Applications, Jubilee Sciences Conference of High School on Air Forces of Bulgaria, May 21-24, 1996, D. Mitropolia, Bulgaria, [21]. A.Petrakiev and P.S.Kamenov, Gamma Lasers and Applications using 119mSn and 124mTe, Second Balkan Conference on Physics, September, 11-16, 1996, Izmir, TURKEY

[22] Report to American Physical Society of the study group on science & technology of directed energy weapons, Rev. Mod. Physics, Vol. 59, part II, July, 1987, pp. S1-S200.

May 29,1997 Bourgas,BULGARIA

Signature: Septimized Prof.Dr. Sc.A.Petrakiev

Encl: - 4 abstracts - copy trom Int. Rep. UNIVERSITY
"Prof.Dr. A.Zlatarov"- Bourgas

Prof.Dr. Sci. A. PETRAKIEV,PhD

Dep. of Physics - H e a d

Lab. Appl.Physics & Spectroscopy

OFFICE: 1, Prof. Yakimov str. Bourgas 8010, Bulgaria tel: (+359-56)280 315 FAX: (+359-56)686 141 HOMME Compl. "P.Slaveikov" Bl.34,Ent.7,Fl.6,Apt.17 Bourgas 8010, Bulgaria tel: (+359-56)684 907